



SRIJANSHODH2026-40

## **Digital Heritage and Multidisciplinary Art Practices in India: Strategies for Preserving Tangible and Intangible Cultural Heritage**

**Dr. Anirban Dhar**

Assistant Professor

Department of Fine Arts, School of Design,

Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun Campus

Contact no. 9401238589 | Email ID: anirbandhar2013@gmail.com

### **Abstract**

*India's rich and diverse cultural heritage embraces a vast gamut of tangible heritage, including monuments, sculptures, paintings, manuscripts and archaeological geographical locations, as well as intangible traditions such as folk arts, performing arts, oral histories, rituals, craftsmanship and indigenous knowledge systems. The lively evolution of digital technologies has explored new opportunities for preserving, documenting and communicating these invaluable cultural resources while addressing the challenges posed by urbanisation, environmental degradation, globalisation and the gradual decay of traditional practices. This research paper explores the role of digital heritage within multidisciplinary art practices in India, emphasising innovative strategies for the preservation of both tangible and intangible cultural heritage. Embracing an interdisciplinary perspective, the study explores the integration of visual arts, digital humanities, museum studies, heritage conservation, information technology and digital archiving in executing sustainable models of heritage preservation. The research paper further explores how collaborative engagement among artists, designers, conservators, technologists, researchers, cultural institutions and local communities contributes to safeguarding cultural memory while enhancing accessibility, education and public participation. In addition, the study critically discusses the ethical, technical and policy-related challenges associated with digital heritage, including issues of authenticity, digital sustainability and inclusive representation of marginalised cultural narratives. Emphasising upon selected examples from India's cultural landscape, the research paper focuses that multidisciplinary approaches supported by emerging digital technologies can significantly strengthen heritage preservation by promoting innovation, cultural continuity and community-based participation. The study concludes that integrating digital heritage practices with multidisciplinary artistic methodologies offers a sustainable framework for protecting India's cultural diversity while encouraging research, education, creative expression and long-term cultural flexibility in the digital era.*



**Keywords:** Digital Heritage; Tangible Cultural Heritage; Intangible Cultural Heritage; Multidisciplinary Art Practices; Digital Preservation.

## 1. Introduction

Cultural heritage constituted an essential archive of collective memory, identity, knowledge and creative expression. In the Indian context, heritage is particularly complex because it encompasses an extraordinary plurality of artistic traditions, architectural forms, religious practices, languages, crafts, performing arts, manuscripts, rituals, oral narratives and community-based knowledge systems. Heritage therefore cannot be understood exclusively as a collection of monuments or museum objects. It also included living practices through which communities continuously negotiate, reinterpret and transmit cultural knowledge.

UNESCO conceptualises intangible cultural heritage as living expressions that communities recognise as part of their cultural identity and which evolve as societies change. This understanding is particularly relevant to India, where artistic and cultural traditions frequently exist through processes of transmission rather than through fixed physical objects.

The emergence of digital technologies has substantially altered the possibilities for heritage documentation and preservation. High-resolution photography, digital imaging, three-dimensional (3D) scanning, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), digital repositories, artificial intelligence (AI), audiovisual recording and interactive platforms have expanded the capacity to record and communicate cultural resources. Digital heritage, therefore, should not be understood merely as the conversion of analogue material into digital formats. It represented a broader cultural ecosystem involving documentation, metadata, interpretation, accessibility, creative transformation, community participation and long-term preservation.

UNESCO's Charter on the Preservation of Digital Heritage recognised digitally created and digitised resources as a form of heritage requiring deliberate preservation. It also emphasised that digital continuity depended upon preservation throughout the information life cycle, from creation to continued access.

This transformation has particular significance for multidisciplinary art practices. Contemporary artists increasingly work across photography, video, installation, animation, sound, performance, interactive media, projection, digital modelling and virtual environments. Such practices can become important vehicles for interpreting and re-contextualising heritage. At the same time, heritage professionals can benefit from artistic methodologies that emphasise embodiment, sensory experience, narrative and public engagement.



The central exploration of this research paper is that digital heritage preservation in India should move beyond technological digitisation towards a multidisciplinary, participatory and culturally sensitive ecosystem in which technology, artistic practice, heritage scholarship and community knowledge manage together.

## 2. Research Objectives

The study has five principal objectives:

1. To propose a multidisciplinary framework for sustainable and community-oriented digital heritage preservation in India.
2. To analyse digital strategies for preserving tangible and intangible cultural heritage.
3. To examine the relationship between digital heritage and multidisciplinary art practices in the Indian context.
4. To investigate the potential of emerging technologies for documentation, interpretation, education and public engagement.
5. To critically examine ethical, cultural, technological and institutional challenges associated with digital heritage preservation.

## 3. Research Methodology

The research study adopted a qualitative, interdisciplinary and exploratory research methodology. It is primarily based on critical literature review, conceptual analysis, and examination of selected Indian heritage initiatives and representative digital preservation practices.

The research draws upon three principal categories of material: first, international heritage frameworks and policy documents, particularly UNESCO conventions, recommendations and digital heritage guidelines; second, recent scholarly literature addressing digital heritage, digital preservation and technology-enabled safeguarding of intangible cultural heritage; and third, publicly documented Indian digital heritage initiatives and examples.

The methodological approach is interpretive rather than quantitative. The purpose is not to measure the effectiveness of a single digital platform but to develop a conceptual understanding of how technological, artistic, institutional and community-based approaches can be integrated. Recent research indicated that digital technologies are increasingly being applied across multiple stages of intangible heritage safeguarding, including documentation, classification, restoration, exhibition, education and cultural innovation.



The selected examples are consequently treated as illustrative cases rather than statistically representative samples. This distinction is important because India's cultural heritage is geographically and culturally heterogeneous, and no single technological model can adequately represent all heritage communities.

#### **4. Conceptualising Digital Heritage in the Indian Context**

Digital heritage may be broadly understood as cultural resources that are created digitally or transformed into digital form for purposes of preservation, interpretation, research, education and approach. UNESCO's 2003 Charter identified both "born-digital" resources and materials converted from analogue formats as components of digital heritage. It further identified hardware and software replaced by something newer, insufficient resources, inadequate preservation strategies and weak institutional frameworks as significant risks.

For India, digital heritage can be divided into three interconnected categories.

##### **4.1 Digitised Tangible Heritage**

This category included monuments, archaeological sites, sculptures, paintings, manuscripts, textiles, archival documents and museum collections that are digitally documented.

Digital photography, photogrammetry, 3D scanning and spatial mapping can generate detailed records of physical objects and sites. Such documentation can support conservation assessment, research and educational access while creating a digital record that may remain valuable even when the physical object is damaged.

India's contemporary digital heritage initiatives increasingly recognise the importance of moving beyond simple documentation towards multimedia storage, curation, distribution and public engagement. The Government of India's Indian Heritage in Digital Space initiative, for example, identifies 3D scanning, virtual reality, computer vision and artificial intelligence among the technologies relevant to heritage preservation and seeks to ease interdisciplinary digital heritage research.

##### **4.2 Digital Documentation of Intangible Heritage**

Intangible heritage presents a fundamentally different preservation challenge. A painting or sculpture can be photographed or scanned, but a dance, oral tradition, craft process or ritual cannot be fully preserved through a static digital image.



Documentation therefore needs to capture process, context, movement, sound, spatial relationships, practitioners and community meaning. Video documentation, spatial audio, oral-history interviews, motion capture, interactive databases and immersive environments can collectively provide richer representations.

UNESCO's framework stresses community participation in identifying and safeguarding intangible heritage. India has ratified the 2003 Convention, and UNESCO's country reporting records ongoing national efforts related to safeguarding and community engagement.

Consequently, digital preservation should not transform living cultural practices into frozen museum specimens. Its objective should be to support cultural continuity.

#### **4.3 Born-Digital Cultural Heritage**

A third category consisted of digital artworks, online exhibitions, digital performances, interactive installations, websites, social media documentation and other cultural expressions that originate digitally.

This category is particularly important for multidisciplinary art. A digital artwork may depend upon particular software, operating systems, hardware or interactive environments. Preserving only its visual appearance may therefore fail to preserve its original functionality.

UNESCO has specifically recognised the preservation challenges posed by multimedia, interactive hypermedia, dynamic data and emerging digital formats.

Thus, digital preservation must increasingly address not only what an artwork looks like, but also how it functions, how it was created and how audiences experience it.

#### **5. Multidisciplinary Art Practices as a Strategy for Heritage Preservation**

The intersection between heritage and contemporary art executed significant possibilities for preservation. Multidisciplinary art practices can combine painting, sculpture, photography, film, sound, performance, animation, installation, digital modelling and interactive media to create new forms of cultural interpretation.

The artist can function not merely as a creator but also as a cultural mediator. For example, an artist may transform archival material into an interactive installation, reconstruct historical visual environments through digital projection, reinterpret oral narratives through animation, or translate traditional patterns into digital visual languages.



This approach can be particularly valuable for younger audiences. Conventional heritage documentation often relies upon textual descriptions, catalogues and static displays. Multidisciplinary artistic interpretation can create experiential encounters that involve sight, sound, movement and participation.

Interactive digital storytelling is one such approach. Research has identified its relevance to digital cultural heritage, education, visual arts and contemporary forms of cultural presentation. At the same time, interdisciplinary projects must negotiate tensions between artistic freedom, technological limitations and historical accuracy.

Therefore, collaboration between artists, historians, conservators and technologists becomes essential.

## **6. Digital Strategies for Preserving Tangible Cultural Heritage**

### **6.1 Digital Imaging and Archival Documentation**

High-resolution photography and multispectral imaging can create detailed visual records of paintings, manuscripts, sculptures and architectural surfaces. Metadata can provide information regarding provenance, material, dimensions, condition, location, and historical context. Digital imaging is particularly valuable for fragile objects because it can facilitate research and access without requiring repeated physical handling.

### **6.2 3D Scanning and Photogrammetry**

3D documentation allows cultural objects and architectural sites to be digitally reconstructed. Photogrammetry can generate spatial models from overlapping photographs, while laser scanning can provide detailed geometric information.

Such models can support conservation planning, virtual exhibitions, research, and educational applications. They can also provide baseline documentation against which future physical changes can be appraised.

However, a 3D model should not be regarded as an equivalent replacement for the original. Materiality, scale, surface texture, historical context, and sensory experience cannot always be reproduced digitally.

### **6.3 GIS and Spatial Heritage Mapping**



GIS can connect cultural resources to geographical information. Mapping can integrate monuments, archaeological sites, cultural landscapes, craft communities, festivals and historical routes. For India, where cultural practices are frequently linked to specific ecological and geographical contexts, spatial mapping can help reveal relationships between place, community and cultural practice.

#### **6.4 Virtual and Augmented Reality**

VR can reconstruct inaccessible or endangered heritage environments, while AR can overlay historical information onto physical environments. Such technologies can make heritage more accessible to students, researchers, tourists and audiences unable to visit specific locations. However, virtual reconstruction must be accompanied by transparent documentation regarding what is historically verified and what has been digitally reconstructed or artistically interpreted.

### **7. Digital Strategies for Preserving Intangible Cultural Heritage**

The preservation of intangible heritage requires a fundamentally different strategy because the heritage exists through human practice.

#### **7.1 Audio-visual Ethnographic Documentation**

Video and audio recording can document music, dance, theatre, ritual, storytelling and craft processes. However, documentation should include contextual information such as practitioner biographies, locations, languages, community meanings and occasions of performance.

The recent emphasis in digital heritage scholarship on multimodal documentation demonstrates the importance of combining different forms of data rather than relying exclusively on text or still images.

#### **7.2 Digital Craft Archives**

Traditional crafts can be documented through sequential photographs, video, 3D models and interviews with practitioners. Such archives can preserve knowledge concerning tools, materials, techniques, motifs and processes. The objective should not be simply to reproduce craft products digitally. Rather, digital systems should support knowledge transmission between generations.

#### **7.3 Immersive Documentation**



Immersive technologies may provide opportunities to represent embodied cultural practices. A relevant Indian example is research into AipanVR, which explores virtual reality as a means of documenting and presenting Aipan, a traditional art practice of Uttarakhand. The study involved field engagement with Aipan designers and explored the potential of VR for representing the practice. Such initiatives demonstrate the potential of connecting traditional visual practices with contemporary digital and immersive art.

#### **7.4 Oral Histories and Community Archives**

Digital oral-history archives can preserve narratives that may otherwise remain undocumented. Recording practitioners, elders, artists, artisans and cultural knowledge holders can provide valuable contextual information. However, ownership and access must be negotiated with communities. UNESCO has highlighted concerns concerning management, ownership, access and potential distortion when intangible cultural expressions are digitally preserved.

#### **8. Digital Preservation and the Role of Contemporary Artists**

Contemporary artists occupy an increasingly important position within digital heritage ecosystems. Their role may include documentation, interpretation, visualisation, participatory engagement and creative transformation.

Multidisciplinary artists can translate heritage data into installations, projection mapping, digital paintings, interactive environments, animation, sound art and performance. Such approaches can make heritage intellectually and emotionally accessible without necessarily reducing it to conventional archival formats.

This relationship is particularly relevant to New Media Art. Digital heritage can provide source material for contemporary artistic experimentation, while contemporary art can provide new interpretive strategies for heritage institutions.

The relationship should nevertheless remain ethically grounded. Artistic reinterpretation must distinguish between documented history, community memory, creative speculation and fictional reconstruction.

A productive model can therefore be described as a three-layer system:

Documentation → Interpretation → Creative Engagement

The first layer establishes evidence; the second provides scholarly and cultural interpretation; and the third enables artistic and public engagement.



This model allows multidisciplinary artistic practice to complement rather than replace conservation scholarship.

## 9. Community Participation and Inclusive Heritage

One of the most important dimensions of digital heritage is participation. Digitisation can reproduce existing inequalities if heritage institutions determine what deserves preservation without consulting the communities associated with the material.

UNESCO's intangible heritage framework explicitly recognised the role of communities in identifying and safeguarding cultural practices.

A community-oriented digital preservation model should therefore involve practitioners and knowledge holders at multiple stages:

- identification of heritage;
- documentation;
- contextual interpretation;
- selection of metadata;
- decisions concerning access;
- verification of cultural information;
- determination of sensitive or restricted material;
- development of educational content; and
- periodic updating of digital records.

Such participation is especially significant for marginalised and under-documented communities.

Digital heritage should consequently be understood not simply as a technological archive but as a negotiated cultural space.

## 10. Ethical and Technical Challenges

### 10.1 Authenticity

Digital reproduction inevitably involves processes of selection, framing, compression, modelling and interpretation. A digital copy may be highly accurate while still not being identical to the original. Consequently, preservation systems should maintain provenance information, documentation of digitisation processes and clear distinctions between original records and reconstructed or interpreted material.



## 10.2 Digital Obsolescence

Digital preservation itself is vulnerable to technological change. File formats, software, hardware and storage systems can become obsolete. UNESCO identifies technological obsolescence as a major threat to digital heritage. Long-term preservation therefore requires migration strategies, redundant storage, metadata standards, integrity checks and institutional continuity.

## 10.3 Intellectual Property and Cultural Rights

Digitising a cultural practice does not automatically mean that it should become freely downloadable or commercially exploitable. Traditional knowledge and community cultural expressions may involve collective rights, sacred restrictions or culturally sensitive information. Digital heritage policy must therefore balance public access with cultural ownership and intellectual property rights.

## 10.4 Data Governance

Digital heritage databases may contain personal information, location information, community knowledge and culturally sensitive materials. Institutions must therefore establish clear governance systems concerning access, consent, attribution and data security.

## 10.5 Digital Colonialism

An important theoretical concern is the possibility that digital technologies reproduce colonial patterns of cultural representation. If external institutions document communities without their participation, digital archives may preserve the perspective of the collector rather than the knowledge holder. A sustainable model must therefore move from “documenting communities” to “documenting with communities.”

## 10.6 The Digital Divide

Access to high-quality digital infrastructure remains uneven. Rural communities and traditional practitioners may have limited access to high-speed internet, digitisation equipment and technical training.

Therefore, digital preservation strategies must include capacity building, local infrastructure and accessible interfaces rather than assuming universal technological access.

## 11. Towards an Indian Multidisciplinary Digital Heritage Framework



Based on the preceding discussion, this research paper proposed a Multidisciplinary Digital Heritage Preservation Framework (MDHPF) for India.

The framework consists of six interconnected stages:

**Stage 1: Identification** - Identify significant tangible and intangible heritage through archival research, fieldwork and community consultation.

**Stage 2: Documentation** - Employ appropriate technologies including photography, audiovisual recording, 3D scanning, photogrammetry, GIS and oral-history methods.

**Stage 3: Contextualisation** - Attach metadata concerning historical, geographical, social, artistic and cultural contexts.

**Stage 4: Interpretation** - Bring together artists, historians, conservators, anthropologists, designers, technologists and community representatives to interpret the material.

**Stage 5: Creative Dissemination** - Develop digital exhibitions, interactive archives, VR/AR experiences, educational resources, digital artworks and participatory platforms.

**Stage 6: Long-Term Preservation** - Implement sustainable storage, migration, backup, authentication, metadata management, institutional responsibility and periodic review.

The framework can be represented conceptually as:

Community Knowledge → Documentation → Digital Archive → Multidisciplinary Interpretation → Public Engagement → Cultural Transmission → Sustainable Preservation

This model shifts the emphasis from digitisation as an endpoint to digital continuity as an ongoing cultural process.

## 12. Discussion

The central finding of this conceptual study is that technological sophistication alone cannot guarantee successful heritage preservation. A technologically advanced archive may still fail if it excluded communities, lacks contextual information or becomes inaccessible because of obsolete software.

UNESCO's recommendations similarly emphasise that preservation is an ongoing process requiring institutional, technological, legal and educational support.



Recent scholarship further suggested that digital technologies for intangible heritage are expanding beyond documentation towards classification, restoration, exhibition, education and cultural innovation.

This development created an important opportunity for India. The country's strong traditions of visual art, craft, performance, storytelling and community-based cultural production can interact productively with digital humanities and new media.

At the same time, the Indian context demands a culturally sensitive approach. India's heritage is multilingual, multi-regional and socially diverse. A centralised digital model may therefore be inadequate. Instead, a distributed network of museums, universities, archives, cultural organisations, artists, local governments, technology institutions and community organisations could create interoperable heritage ecosystems.

Such an ecosystem could support:

1. Research, through structured and searchable digital archives;
2. Education, through immersive and interactive learning;
3. Conservation, through high-quality documentation;
4. Contemporary art, through creative engagement with cultural memory;
5. Community empowerment, through participatory ownership;
6. Tourism and public engagement, through responsible digital interpretation; and
7. Intergenerational transmission, through accessible digital learning resources.

The Government of India's recent emphasis on the Indian Heritage in Digital Space initiative similarly demonstrated a movement towards multimedia heritage resources, interdisciplinary research and public participation. Thus, India's digital heritage future should not be reduced to technological modernisation. It should be understood as a cultural strategy for negotiating continuity and change.

### **13. Conclusion**

Digital heritage represented an important frontier in the preservation, interpretation and dissemination of India's cultural diversity. The combination of digital documentation, immersive technologies, digital humanities, museum practices and multidisciplinary art offers significant opportunities for safeguarding both tangible and intangible heritage.

However, effective preservation required more than scanning objects or creating online archives. Heritage exists through material objects, social practices, memories, narratives,



places, skills and relationships. Digital preservation must therefore capture not only the physical characteristics of heritage but also its cultural context and living significance.

Multidisciplinary art practices can contribute substantially to this objective by creating innovative modes of visualisation, interpretation and public engagement. Artists can collaborate with conservators, historians, technologists, anthropologists and communities to develop digital environments that communicate heritage while encouraging contemporary creative dialogue.

The study therefore proposed that India's future digital heritage strategy should be based upon six principles: documentation, contextualisation, participation, interdisciplinarity, ethical governance and long-term digital sustainability.

The distinction between tangible and intangible heritage should also become increasingly fluid. A traditional craft, for example, may simultaneously exist as a physical object, a production technique, an oral narrative, a community identity, a visual vocabulary and a digital record. Digital preservation should acknowledge these interconnected dimensions.

Ultimately, the objective of digital heritage is not to replace the physical or living heritage experience. Rather, it is to strengthen cultural continuity by creating additional spaces for memory, research, education, interpretation and creative expression.

For India, a multidisciplinary digital heritage ecosystem can therefore become an important instrument for safeguarding cultural diversity while enabling new forms of artistic practice. Such an approach can transform digital preservation from a technical archival exercise into a dynamic cultural process through which heritage is documented, interpreted, experienced, transmitted and continually reimaged for future generations.

## References

1. Chaudhary, N., Raj, M., Bhattacharjee, R., Srivastava, A., Sah, R., & Badoni, P. (2024). *AipanVR: A virtual reality experience for preserving Uttarakhand's traditional art form*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.12643>
2. Ding, L. (2025). The research on the application and transmission value of digital production technology in intangible cultural heritage products. *Intelligent Manufacturing and Cloud Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ATDE241337>
3. Government of India. (2025). *Digitization of cultural heritage in India / Indian Heritage in Digital Space research*. Press Information Bureau, Government of India.



4. Khan, S., & Mehmood, T. (2025). Cultural heritage preservation through digital technology: A multidisciplinary approach. *Journal of Empirical Economics and Multidisciplinary Methods*.
5. Ren, K., & Lam, J. F. I. (2026). Knowledge graph-driven digital preservation of intangible cultural heritage: A cross-cultural comparative study of Chinese and Western implementation paradigms. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 13, 147.
6. UNESCO. (2003a). *Charter on the preservation of digital heritage*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
7. UNESCO. (2003b). *Convention for the safeguarding of the intangible cultural heritage*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
8. UNESCO. (2015). *Recommendation concerning the preservation of, and access to, documentary heritage including in digital form*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
9. UNESCO. (2020). *UNESCO builds an international policy agenda for digital preservation of documentary heritage*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
10. UNESCO. (2024a). *Intangible cultural heritage*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
11. UNESCO. (2024b). *Operational directives for the implementation of the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
12. UNESCO. (2025). *India—Intangible cultural heritage: Periodic reporting and national implementation*. UNESCO Intangible Cultural Heritage.
13. Wang, L., & Xie, X. (2026). Diagnosing the continuation conditions of digital intangible cultural heritage: A socio-technical systems evaluation framework. *npj Heritage Science*.
14. Wei, S., Wang, L., Xu, S., et al. (2026). How digital technologies empower the safeguarding of intangible cultural heritage: A scoping review of research from 2020 to 2025. *npj Heritage Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s40494-026-02774-3>